1	Bradley S. Keller, WSBA #10665 Ralph E. Cromwell, Jr., WSBA #11784	The Honorable Frederick P. Corbit	
2	Byrnes Keller Cromwell LLP	Chapter: 7	
3	1000 Second Avenue, 38th Floor		
4	Seattle, WA 98104		
5	(206) 622-2000 Facsimile No.: (206) 622-2522		
6			
7	Attorneys for Perkins Coie LLP		
8			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
10	In Re:	No. 18-03197-FPC7	
11	GIGA WATT, INC.,	STATEMENT AND	
12	Debtor.	OBJECTIONS OF PERKINS	
13		COIE, LLP TO MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
14		ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
15	Perkins Cole LLP ("Perkins) responds and objects as follows to the Trustee's		
16	Motion for Order to Show Cause:		
17 18	A. Perkins Has No Interest in the Outc	ome of This Dispute.	
19	Doubing is not a analitan of the Estate and has assented no claim for affirmative		
20	relief against the Estate. Accordingly, for purposes of this motion, Perkins is not		
21	asserting a position regarding who, between the Trustee and Jun Dam, owns the		
22	claims being asserted in the Adversary Proceeding brought by the Trustee in this		
23	bankruptcy and the Class Action brought by Jun Dam in the District Court.		
2425	B. This Motion Should Not Be Used to Resolve Issues in the Adversary		

STATEMENT AND OBJECTIONS OF PERKINS COIE, LLP TO MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 1 $\,$

Proceeding or Class Action.

26

BYRNES • KELLER • CROMWELL LLP

38TH FLOOR
1000 SECOND AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
(206) 622-2000

However, many of the assertions made in support of the Trustee's motion for an order to show cause are disputed by Perkins Coie, LLP, both in the Adversary Proceeding and in the Class Action. To the extent that this motion turns on those disputed issues, Perkins has had no meaningful opportunity, yet, to conduct discovery to gather evidence for any type of contested hearing where evidence is taken to make findings. Factual issues, and mixed issues of fact and law, which are pertinent to the resolution of the Adversary Proceeding and the Class Action should be determined in those proceedings according to the applicable case schedules. This motion should not be used as a mechanism to short circuit the resolution of disputed issues in a manner allegedly binding on Perkins.

For illustrative purposes, Perkins, offers the following non-exhaustive examples of express or implied assertions, made in support of the Trustee's motion, which are disputed, and which may be relevant to the Adversary and Class Action lawsuits:

- 1. Was there an escrow agreement and, if so, what were its terms?
- 2. If there was an escrow agreement, was the Debtor an intended beneficiary?
- 3. To what extent were crypto-mining facilities constructed by Debtor?
- 4. Were all of the proceeds of token sales used for construction of cryptomining facilities as Debtor represented to the Securities Exchange Commission?
- 5. Were Debtor and Giga Watt Pte. Ltd. in a partnership?

- 6. Did the sale of digital tokens by Giga Watt Pte. Ltd. constitute the sale of a security within the meaning of various state or federal securities laws?
- 7. If the answer to question number 6 is "yes", do securities laws enacted in the United States apply to all sales of tokens, given that Giga Watt Pte. Ltd. was located in Singapore, many token purchasers are located in foreign countries, and the Token Purchase Agreement specifies application of Singapore law?
- To the extent that sales of tokens by Giga Watt Pte Ltd are subject to 8. securities laws enacted in the United States, can Debtor have any potential liability under such laws given that it neither sold nor marketed the tokens?

Conclusion. C.

Perkins has had no opportunity to conduct any discovery on these or other relevant issues. Resolution of these issues for purposes of the Adversary Proceeding and Class Action should occur in those actions according to the schedules and procedures adopted therein. Perkins, therefore, objects to any findings being made in connection with the pending matter that would be binding on Perkins in the Adversary Proceedings or the Class Action.

22

24 25

26

	ll en	
1	DATED this 13th day of July, 2021.	
2	BYRNES KELLER CROMWELL LLP	
3	By /s/ Bradley S. Keller	
4	Bradley S. Keller, WSBA #10665 By /s/ Ralph E. Cromwell, Jr.	
5	Ralph E. Cromwell, Jr., WSBA #11784	
6	1000 Second Avenue, 38th Floor	
7	Seattle, Washington 98104 206-622-2000	
8	Fax: 206-622-2522	
9	Email: bkeller@byrneskeller.com	
10	rcromwell@byrneskeller.com Attorneys for Perkins Coie LLP	
11	Thiorneys for I crimis core EEI	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

STATEMENT AND OBJECTIONS OF PERKINS COIE, LLP TO MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 4

Byrnes • Keller • Cromwell Llp

38th Floor
1000 Second Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104
(206) 622-2000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 13th day of July, 2021, I electronically filed the

foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, which in turn

who are registered users of the CM/ECF system. The NEF for the foregoing

specifically identifies recipients of electronic notice.

automatically generated a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to all parties in the case

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

By <u>/s/Ralph E. Cromwell, Jr.</u> Ralph E. Cromwell, Jr.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
1000 Second Avenue, 38th Floor
Seattle, Washington 98104
206-622-2000

Fax: 206-622-2522

Email: rcromwell@byrneskeller.com

STATEMENT AND OBJECTIONS OF PERKINS COIE, LLP TO MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 5

BYRNES • KELLER • CROMWELL LLP

38TH FLOOR
1000 SECOND AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
(206) 622-2000